arXiv:1601.04337v2 [math.SG] 5 Jun 2016

A FAMILY OF COMPACT COMPLEX-SYMPLECTIC CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS THAT ARE NONKÄHLER

LIZHEN QIN AND BOTONG WANG

Abstract. We construct a family of 6-dimensional compact manifolds $M(A)$ which are simultaneously diffeomorphic to complex Calabi-Yau manifolds and symplectic Calabi-Yau manifolds. They have fundamental groups $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, their odd-dimensional Betti numbers are even, they satisfy the hard Lefschetz property, and their real homotopy types are formal. However, $M(A) \times Y$ are not homotopy equivalent to any compact Kähler manifold for any topological space Y.

1. Introduction

A Kähler manifold is a symplectic manifold together with a compatible complex structure. People have been interested in searching examples of compact complex or compact symplectic manifolds which are nonkähler. In the 1940s, Hopf constructed complex manifolds $S^1 \times S^{2N-1}$ $(N > 1)$ that are nonkähler because their first Betti numbers are odd. Nowadays such complex manifolds are called Hopf manifolds ([30, p. 14]). By similar reasons, it is easy to construct many compact complex nonkähler manifolds. On the other hand, it seems more difficult and hence more interesting to find the symplectic counterparts. The existence of compact symplectic nonkähler manifolds had been an open question for many years until Thurston ([35]) discovered the first example. From then on, there has been many works on the symplectic part (see e.g. [4], [10], [13], [15], [20], [28], [33], and [37]). In recent decades, people have been particularly interested in constructing complex or symplectic nonkähler manifolds satisfying an additional condition, the Calabi-Yau property (see e.g. [1], [5], [7], [16], [17], [18], [19], [21], [23], [24], [25], [27], [34], [36], and [38]).

In this paper, we will construct a family of 6-dimensional compact smooth manifolds which are simultaneously diffeomorphic to complex and symplectic manifolds. They are Calabi-Yau in both the complex sense and the symplectic sense. We call these manifolds complex-symplectic Calabi-Yau. Notice that our meaning of "complex-symplectic" is different from the concept "holomorphic symplectic" (see [23]). A holomorphic symplectic manifold is a complex manifold endowed with a holomorphic symplectic form. A holomorphic symplectic manifold certainly carries both complex and symplectic structures and is Calabi-Yau in either sense. However, holomorphic symplectic manifolds are always of real dimension $4n$, and hence never of dimension 6.

The examples in this paper are interesting to us because they share many properties with compact Kähler manifolds. However, they are nonkähler in a strong sense. Before we state our main result, we need to make the word "nonkählerness" precise. For a manifold M, the works in the literature usually interpret nonkählerness in one of the following ways:

(i) M is not homotopy equivalent to any compact Kähler manifold;
(ii) M is not homeomorphic to any compact Kähler manifold;
(iii) M is not diffeomorphic to any compact Kähler manifold;
(iv) M is not biholomorphic to any compact Kähler manifold;
(v) M is not symplectomorphic to any compact Kähler manifold.

Here, (iv) also means that M does not carry a Kähler structure compatible with its complex structure; and (v) also means that M does not carry a Kähler structure compatible with its symplectic structure. Clearly, (i)⇒(ii)⇒(iii)⇒(iv) and (v). The nonkählerness of our examples is in a sense even stronger than (i).

Let us focus on the nonkählerness in the sense of (i) for now. Many works to prove a manifold being nonkähler in the sense of (i) are to show the manifold does not satisfy one of the following properties.

(a) Fundamental Groups: Only a small class of groups, named Kähler groups, can be realized as the fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds. (See e.g. [2] and [9] for a survey.)
(b) Betti Numbers: The odd-dimensional Betti numbers of compact Kähler manifolds are even ([22, p. 117]).
(c) Hard Lefschetz Property: For a compact Kähler manifold X of real dimension $2n$, there exists $\alpha \in H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ such that, for each j,

$$L_\alpha^j : H^{n-j}(X;\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^j \cup} H^{n+j}(X;\mathbb{R}),$$

is an isomorphism of cohomology groups. In fact, one can choose α to be the cohomology class represented by the Kähler form of X ([22, p. 122]).
(d) Formality: The real homotopy type of a compact Kähler manifold is formal ([11]).

Now let us state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For each $A \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}])$ such that the absolute value of its trace is $|\operatorname{tr}(A)| > 2$, there exists a 6-dimensional compact smooth manifold $M(A)$ (constructed in Proposition 3.1) which satisfies the following properties.*

(1) The manifold $M(A)$ is simultaneously C^∞ diffeomorphic to a complex manifold M_C and a smooth symplectic manifold M_S.
(2) The complex manifold M_C is holomorphically Calabi-Yau, i.e. the canonical line bundle of M_C is trivial as a holomorphic line bundle.
(3) The symplectic manifold M_S is integrally Calabi-Yau, i.e. $c_1(M_S) = 0$. Here $c_1(M_S) \in H^2(M_S;\mathbb{Z})$ is the 1st Chern class of M_S with respect to its symplectic structure.
(4) The fundamental group $\pi_1(M(A)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Hence it is a Kähler group.
(5) All odd-dimensional Betti numbers of $M(A)$ are even.
(6) The following map is an isomorphism for each j,

$$L_{[\omega]}^j : H^{3-j}(M_S;\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{[\omega]^j \cup} H^{3+j}(M_S;\mathbb{R}),$$

where $[\omega] \in H^2(M_S;\mathbb{R})$ is the cohomology class represented by the symplectic form ω of M_S, and $L_{[\omega]}^j(\beta) = [\omega]^j \cup \beta$. Hence $M(A)$ satisfies the hard Lefschetz property.

(7) *The real homotopy type of $M(A)$ is formal.*
(8) *However, given any topological space Y, the product $M(A) \times Y$ is not homotopy equivalent to any compact Kähler manifold.*

The properties (4), (5), (6) and (7) in Theorem 1.1 show that $M(A)$ meets the above criteria (a), (b), (c) and (d). Furthermore, (1) shows $M(A)$ carries both the complex and symplectic structures as compact Kähler manifolds do. Nevertheless, property (8) shows that $M(A)$ is highly nonkähler. Putting Y to be a point, then (8) trivially implies that $M(A)$ is nonkähler in the sense (i).

Another advantage of (8) is that, by taking products of $M(A)$ with other manifolds, we get many nonkähler examples of higher dimensions. For example, let K be a Kummer surface (see Definition 2.1). Then $M(A)\times\prod_{j=1}^{n} K$ are manifolds satisfying all conclusions of Theorem 1.1 except that their dimensions are $4n+6$.

Notice that Calabi-Yau properties do depend on the choice of complex and symplectic structures. In general, condition (2) in Theorem 1.1 does not imply condition (3), and vice versa. So both of them are listed in the statement.

There are many matrices A satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.1 (see Example 3.13). Moreover, $M(A)$ will indeed form a large family of homotopy types thanks to the following theorem. Recall that the spectral radius of a matrix is the maximum of the absolute value of its eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.2. *Given two manifolds $M(A_1)$ and $M(A_2)$ as in Theorem 1.1, if A_1 and A_2 have different spectral radii, then $M(A_1)$ is not homotopy equivalent to $M(A_2)$.*

Our new ingredient to show nonkählerness is from Hodge theory with twisted coefficients. More precisely, we prove the following theorem, which is essentially a consequence of the structure theorem of cohomology jump loci of compact Kähler manifolds in [40].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $p : E \to S^1$ be a fiber bundle with path-connected fiber F. Suppose $H^j(F;\mathbb{C})$ are finite dimensional for all j and suppose E is homotopy equivalent to a compact Kähler manifold. Then the eigenvalues of the monodromy action on $H^j(F;\mathbb{C})$ are roots of unity for every j.*

Here we would like to recall the definition of the monodromy action. Let $\gamma : [0,1] \to S^1$ be a loop such that $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = b$. Let F_b be the fiber over b. Lift γ to a continuous map $\Gamma : [0,1] \times F_b \to E$, i.e. $p \circ \Gamma(t,x) = \gamma(t)$. Assuming $\Gamma(0,\cdot) : F_b \to F_b$ is the identity, $\Gamma(1,\cdot) : F_b \to F_b$ is a monodromy map associated with γ. The monodromy map is unique up to homotopy, and hence it induces a well-defined action on the cohomology groups $H^j(F,\mathbb{C})$.

The construction of $M(A)$ is motivated by Thurston's classical work mentioned above ([35]). Here we sketch the construction. See Section 3 for more details. Each $A \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}])$ yields a biholomorphic self-map $A_K : K \to K$ of a Kummer surface (Proposition 2.11). Gluing the two ends of the cylinder $S^1 \times [0,1] \times K$ by the relation $(a,0,x) \sim (a,1,A_K(x))$, we get $M(A) = (S^1 \times [0,1] \times K)/\sim$. This manifold $M(A)$ is a fiber bundle over S^1 with fiber $S^1 \times K$. More generally, given any topological space Y, the product $M(A) \times Y$ is a fiber bundle with fiber $S^1 \times K \times Y$. We will relate the monodromy actions on $H^j(S^1 \times K \times Y;\mathbb{C})$ to the matrix A. As far as A satisfies

the assumption in Theorem 1.1, the monodromy actions will violate the conclusion of Theorem 1.3.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition and some properties of Kummer surfaces. In Sections 3 and 4, we construct examples $M(A)$ and prove the main Theorem 1.1. Finally, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are proved in Section 5.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful for various comments from June Huh, Stefan Papadima, Alex Suciu and Claire Voisin. We thanks Luis Saumell for careful reading of an earlier version of the paper and his helpful suggestions.

2. Kummer Surfaces

In this section, we will study a Kummer surface which plays an important role in this paper. For simplicity, we will only use the Kummer surface defined by the stardard lattice. Let us recall its definition first.

Let $\Lambda = \{(a_1 + a_2\sqrt{-1}, a_3 + a_4\sqrt{-1}) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the standard lattice of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ is a torus of complex dimension 2, which we denote by T. The universal covering map $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \to T$ is also a homomorphism of complex Lie groups. Let

$$\hat{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda = \left\{ \left(\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{a_2}{2}\sqrt{-1}, \frac{a_3}{2} + \frac{a_4}{2}\sqrt{-1} \right) \middle| \, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \right\}$$

Then $\pi(\hat{\Lambda})$ consists of 16 points w_j $(j = 1, \ldots, 16)$ in T. Let $w_1 = \pi(0)$.

Let $C_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ be the group with two elements. It acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$ by multiplication. This action descends to a holomorphic action on T with fixed points exactly w_j $(j = 1, \ldots, 16)$. Denote the quotient T/C_2 by $\bar{T}$. Then $\bar{T}$ is a complex orbifold with 16 singularities $[w_j]$, where $[w_j]$ is the image of w_j in the quotient space. We shall resolve these singularities and obtain a smooth complex surface.

Here we give a concrete description of the blowup map. Locally, each singularity in the orbifold is isomorphic to the singularity in the quotient orbifold $\mathbb{C}^2/C_2$ at the singular point $[0]$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the Hopf line bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^1$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(v, l) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \mid v \in l\}.$$

Define a holomorphic map $F_1 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}^2/C_2$ by $F_1(v, l) = [v]$, where $[v]$ is the image of v in $\mathbb{C}^2/C_2$. By identifying the zero section of $\mathcal{H}$ with $\mathbb{CP}^1$, we have $F_1(\mathbb{CP}^1) = [0]$ and $F_1|_{\mathcal{H}\setminus\mathbb{CP}^1}$ is a holomorphic double covering of $(\mathbb{C}^2/C_2) \setminus \{[0]\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}^2 = \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ be the tensor square of $\mathcal{H}$. We define another holomorphic map $F_2 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}^2$ by $F_2(v, l) = (v \otimes v, l)$. Also by identifying the zero section of $\mathcal{H}^2$ with $\mathbb{CP}^1$, we have $F_2(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \mathbb{CP}^1$ and $F_2|_{\mathcal{H}\setminus\mathbb{CP}^1} : \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \mathcal{H}^2 \setminus \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a holomorphic double covering. It is straightforward to check that $F = F_1 \circ F_2^{-1} : \mathcal{H}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2/C_2$ is a well-defined holomorphic map. Moreover, $F(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \{[0]\}$ and $F|_{\mathcal{H}^2\setminus\mathbb{CP}^1} : \mathcal{H}^2 \setminus \mathbb{CP}^1 \to (\mathbb{C}^2/C_2) \setminus \{[0]\}$ is a biholomorphic map. Thus $F : \mathcal{H}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2/C_2$ resolves the singularity $[0]$ of $\mathbb{C}^2/C_2$. The map F is called the blowup of $\mathbb{C}^2/C_2$ at $[0]$.

Now we come back to $\bar{T}$. By blowing up all singular points $\{[w_j]\}$ in $\bar{T}$, we obtain a resolution of singularity $P : K \to \bar{T}$.

Definition 2.1. The complex surface K constructed above is called the Kummer surface defined by the standard lattice.

Immediately, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *The map $P : K \to \bar{T}$ is continuous. Each $Y_j = P^{-1}([w_j])$ is a closed holomorphic submanifold of K. Furthermore,*

$$P|_{K\setminus\bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} Y_j} : K \setminus \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} Y_j \to \bar{T} \setminus \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} \{[w_j]\}$$

is a biholomorphic map.

Each Y_j defined as above is called an exceptional divisor in K.

Remark 2.3. It is well known that every Kummer surface K is Calabi-Yau (see [6, p. 241]), which means that its canonical line bundle $\mathcal{K}_K = \bigwedge^2 (T^*K)$ is holomorphically trivial. Hence there exist holomorphic symplectic forms, i.e. non-degenerate holomorphic 2-forms, on K. In fact, we can give an explicit description of such a form. Let (z_1, z_2) be the standard coordinate of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then $dz_1 \wedge dz_2$ is a holomorphic symplectic form on T. Since $dz_1 \wedge dz_2$ is invariant under the C_2 action, it descends to a holomorphic form on the regular part of $\bar{T}$, which we also denote by $dz_1 \wedge dz_2$. One can easily check that $dz_1 \wedge dz_2$ extends to a non-degenerate holomorphic form on K. Denote this holomorphic symplectic form by ϖ, and denote its real part by $\operatorname{Re}\varpi$. Then K is a real symplectic manifold with symplectic form $\operatorname{Re}\varpi$.

Remark 2.4. A Kummer surface K carries many real symplectic forms compatible with its natural complex structure, which define Kähler structures on K. However, $\operatorname{Re}\varpi$ is not such a form. We shall exclusively consider the symplectic structure $(K, \operatorname{Re}\varpi)$ of K throughout this paper.

Proposition 2.5. *The symplectic manifold $(K, \operatorname{Re}\varpi)$ is integrally Calabi-Yau. In other words, $c_1(K, \operatorname{Re}\varpi) = 0$, where $c_1(K, \operatorname{Re}\varpi) \in H^2(K;\mathbb{Z})$ is the 1st Chern class of K with respect to the symplectic structure $\operatorname{Re}\varpi$.*

Proof. Since ϖ is holomorphic symplectic, the structural group $\mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ of the tangent bundle $(TK, \operatorname{Re}\varpi)$ can be reduced to $\mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{C})$. Here $\mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{C})$ are the real and complex symplectic groups respectively. Therefore, the conclusion follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{C})$ is simply connected. □

Next, we will prove some topological properties of Kummer surfaces.

Proposition 2.6. [6, (8.6) in Page 257] *Every Kummer surface K is simply connected.*

The ring structure of $H^*(K;\mathbb{R})$ is well known (see e.g. [6, Page 241]). However, most descriptions of $H^*(K;\mathbb{R})$ in the literature are rather abstract and algebraic, which is not enough for our purposes. We shall describe it more concretely and geometrically.

Lemma 2.7. *$H^{2j+1}(K;\mathbb{R}) = 0$ for all j.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 2.6 and the Poincaré duality. □

Lemma 2.8. *There exists a decomposition of the cohomology group*

$$H^2(K;\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{1\le j\le 16} \mathbb{R}\langle \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)\rangle \oplus H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} Y_j; \mathbb{R}\right) \tag{2.1}$$

where each Y_j is the exceptional divisor defined in Lemma 2.2, $\mathrm{PD}(Y_j) \in H^2(K;\mathbb{Z})$ is the Poincaré dual of Y_j and $\mathbb{R}\langle \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)\rangle$ is the real vector space generated by $\mathrm{PD}(Y_j)$. All vector spaces on the right hand side are naturally subspaces of $H^2(K;\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Given any Y_j, we can choose a small closed tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_j$ of Y_j. These $\mathcal{N}_j$ are pairwise disjoint and they are homeomorphic to a tubular neighborhood (or a disk bundle) of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ in $\mathcal{H}^2$. Thus each $\partial\mathcal{N}_j$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{RP}^3$. Let $W = K\backslash\bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} \operatorname{Int}\mathcal{N}_j$, where $\operatorname{Int}\mathcal{N}_j$ is the interior of $\mathcal{N}_j$. Using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we have

$$H^2(K;\mathbb{R}) = \left(\bigoplus_{1\le j\le 16} H^2(\mathcal{N}_j, \partial\mathcal{N}_j;\mathbb{R})\right) \oplus H^2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{R}),$$

where the vector spaces on the right side can be considered as subspaces of $H^2(K;\mathbb{R})$ by excision.

Since $\mathcal{N}_j$ is a disk bundle associated with $\mathcal{H}^2$ over Y_j, we infer that the Thom class $\alpha_j \in H^2(\mathcal{N}_j, \partial\mathcal{N}_j;\mathbb{R})$ is a generator of $H^2(\mathcal{N}_j, \partial\mathcal{N}_j;\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $\alpha_j = \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)$, if we consider α_j as an element in $H^2(K;\mathbb{R})$ (see Problem 11-C in [29, p. 135] and [8, p. 67]). Thus $H^2(\mathcal{N}_j, \partial\mathcal{N}_j;\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\langle \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)\rangle$ as subspaces of $H^2(K;\mathbb{R})$.

By excision, $H^2(W,\partial W;\mathbb{R}) = H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16}\mathcal{N}_j;\mathbb{R}\right)$. Since Y_j is a deformation retract of $\mathcal{N}_j$, we infer that $H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16}\mathcal{N}_j;\mathbb{R}\right) = H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} Y_j;\mathbb{R}\right)$. Therefore (2.1) follows. □

Lemma 2.9. *The following induced maps on cohomology groups are all isomorphisms,*

$$H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} Y_j;\mathbb{R}\right) \xleftarrow{P^*} H^2\left(\bar{T}, \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16}\{[w_j]\};\mathbb{R}\right) \xrightarrow{Q^*} H^2(T;\mathbb{R})$$

where $Q : T \to \bar{T}$ is the quotient map and P is the blowup map in Lemma 2.2.

Proof. Clearly, $\bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} Y_j$ is a neighborhood deformation retract of K, and $\bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16}\{[w_j]\}$ is also a neighborhood deformation retract of $\bar{T}$. According to Lemma 2.2, $P : K \setminus \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} Y_j \to \bar{T}\setminus\bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16}\{[w_j]\}$ is a homeomorphism. So P^* is an isomorphism.

Consider the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^2\left(\bar{T}, \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16}\{[w_j]\};\mathbb{R}\right) & \xrightarrow{Q^*} & H^2\left(T, \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16}\{w_j\};\mathbb{R}\right) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^2\left(\bar{T};\mathbb{R}\right) & \xrightarrow{Q^*} & H^2(T;\mathbb{R}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^2\left(\bar{T}\setminus\bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16}\{[w_j]\};\mathbb{R}\right) & \xrightarrow{\hat{Q}^*} & H^2\left(T\setminus\bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16}\{w_j\};\mathbb{R}\right)
\end{array}$$

where $\hat{Q}$ is the restriction of Q to $T\setminus\cup_{j=1}^{16}\{w_j\}$, and all vertical maps are induced by the inclusions of spaces.

It is easy to check that all vertical maps are isomorphisms. Since

$$\hat{Q} : T \setminus \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} \{w_j\} \to \bar{T} \setminus \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} \{[w_j]\}$$

is a double covering, $\hat{Q}^*$ is injective and its image contains exactly the elements fixed by the C_2 action of $H^2\left(T \setminus \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16}\{w_j\}; \mathbb{R}\right)$. Since $H^2\left(T \setminus \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16}\{w_j\}; \mathbb{R}\right) = H^2(T; \mathbb{R})$ and the whole space $H^2(T; \mathbb{R})$ is fixed by C_2, we infer that $\hat{Q}^*$ is surjective. Therefore,

$$Q^* : H^2\left(\bar{T}, \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} \{[w_j]\}; \mathbb{R}\right) \to H^2(T; \mathbb{R})$$

is an isomorphism. □

Suppose $A \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-1})$. Then $\det(A) = 1$, and A preserves the lattice Λ, i.e. $A(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. Hence A descends to a complex Lie group automorphism of T. We denote this induced action by A_T.

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 2.10. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^2 & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{C}^2 \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi} \\ T & \xrightarrow{A_T} & T \end{array}$$

Moreover, the automorphism $A_T : T \to T$ induces a permutation of the points w_j $(j = 1, \ldots, 16)$, and it fixes w_1.

Since $A_T : T \to T$ commutes with the C_2 action, it descends further to an automorphism of complex orbifold $A_{\bar{T}} : \bar{T} \to \bar{T}$. Thus we can blow up $A_{\bar{T}} : \bar{T} \to \bar{T}$ to get a holomorphic map $A_K : K \to K$.

Proposition 2.11. *(1). The following diagram commutes, where the map P is the blowup map defined in Lemma 2.2.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{A_K} & K \\ {\scriptstyle P}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle P} \\ \bar{T} & \xrightarrow{A_{\bar{T}}} & \bar{T} \end{array}$$

Moreover, A_K is biholomorphic.

(2). The map A_K permutes the exceptional divisors Y_j $(j = 1, \ldots, 16)$ and $A_K(Y_1) = Y_1$. Moreover, there exists $y \in Y_1$ such that $A_K(y) = y$.

Proof. (1) follows immediately from the definition of the blowup map P.

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.10, we know that A_K permutes Y_j and $A_K(Y_1) = Y_1$. The biholomorphic map from Y_1 to itself certainly has a fixed point y which corresponds to an eigenvector of A. This proves (2). □

Proposition 2.12. *Let ϖ be the holomorphic symplectic form on K defined in Remark 2.3. Then,*

$$A_K^*\varpi = \varpi.$$

Proof. Since ϖ is a holomorphic from, it suffices to show the equality on $K \setminus \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} Y_j$. By Lemma 2.2, Proposition 2.11 (1) and by the definition of ϖ, it further suffices to show the following equality on T,

$$A_T^*(dz_1 \wedge dz_2) = dz_1 \wedge dz_2.$$

Since $A_T^*(dz_1 \wedge dz_2) = \det(A) dz_1 \wedge dz_2$ and $\det(A) = 1$, the proposition follows. □

Recall that $A \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-1})$. Considering A as an action on $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{R}^4$, we denote the corresponding element in $\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ by $A_{\mathbb{R}}$. Using equation (2.1), we can give an explicit description of the action of A_K^* on $H^2(K, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 2.13. *The subspaces $\bigoplus_{1\leq j\leq 16} \mathbb{R}\langle \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)$ and $H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} Y_j; \mathbb{R}\right)$ in (2.1) are A_K^*-invariant subspaces of $H^2(K; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, suppose $A_T(w_j) = w_k$ as in Lemma 2.10, then $A_K^*(\mathrm{PD}(Y_k)) = \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)$. The action of A_K^* on $H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} Y_j; \mathbb{R}\right)$ is isomorphic to the induced action of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\wedge^2(4, \mathbb{R})$, where $\wedge^2(4, \mathbb{R})$ is the space of the skew-symmetric bilinear forms on $\mathbb{R}^4$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.11, we know that $A_K(Y_j) = Y_k$ if $A_T(w_j) = w_k$. Obviously, A_K preserves the orientations of all Y_j and K. Thus, $A_K^*(\mathrm{PD}(Y_k)) = \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)$, and hence $\bigoplus_{1\leq j\leq 16} \mathbb{R}\langle \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)\rangle$ is A_K^* invariant.

Since A_K maps $\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} Y_j\right)$ to itself, $H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} Y_j; \mathbb{R}\right)$ is also A_K^* invariant. We also have the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} Y_j; \mathbb{R}\right) & \xleftarrow{P^*} & H^2\left(\bar{T}, \bigcup_{1\leq j\leq 16}\{[w_j]\}; \mathbb{R}\right) & \xrightarrow{Q^*} & H^2(T; \mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle A_K^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle A_{\bar{T}}^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle A_T^*} \\ H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} Y_j; \mathbb{R}\right) & \xleftarrow{P^*} & H^2\left(\bar{T}, \bigcup_{1\leq j\leq 16}\{[w_j]\}; \mathbb{R}\right) & \xrightarrow{Q^*} & H^2(T; \mathbb{R}). \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.9, all horizontal maps are isomorphisms. Therefore, the action of A_K^* on $H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} Y_j; \mathbb{R}\right)$ is isomorphic to that of A_T^* on $H^2(T; \mathbb{R})$.

Obviously, the action of A_T^* on $H^2(T; \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the action of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\wedge^2(4, \mathbb{R})$, which completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.14. *If as an element in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-1})$, the matrix A has eigenvalues λ and λ^{-1} (here we allow $\lambda = \lambda^{-1}$), then the set of eigenvalues of A_K^* on $H^2(K; \mathbb{C})$ consists of $|\lambda|^2$, $|\lambda|^{-2}$, and some complex numbers with absolute value 1.*

Proof. By (2.1) and Proposition 2.13, we know that

$$H^2(K; \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{1\leq j\leq 16} \mathbb{C}\langle \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)\rangle \oplus H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16} Y_j; \mathbb{C}\right),$$

where $V_1 = \bigoplus_{1\le j\le 16} \mathbb{C}\langle \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)\rangle$ and $V_2 = H^2\left(K, \bigsqcup_{1\le j\le 16} Y_j; \mathbb{C}\right)$ are A_K^* invariant subspaces. Moreover, A_K^* permutes $\mathrm{PD}(Y_j)$. So $A_K^*|_{V_1}$ is unitary and hence its eigenvalues all have absolute value 1.

The action of A_K^* on V_2 is isomorphic to the action of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\wedge^2(4,\mathbb{C}) \cong \wedge^2(4,\mathbb{R})\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$. Since $A_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathrm{GL}(4,\mathbb{R})$ is defined from $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$, it has two invariant subspaces W and $\bar{W}$ as an action on $\mathbb{C}^4$, such that $\mathbb{C}^4 = W \oplus \bar{W}$, $A_{\mathbb{R}}|_W \cong A$ and $A_{\mathbb{R}}|_{\bar{W}} \cong \bar{A}$. Here $\bar{W}$ is the complex conjugate of W and $\bar{A} \in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ is the complex conjugate of A. Thus the action of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^4$ exactly has eigenvalues λ, λ^{-1}, $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$. By Proposition 2.13, $A_K^*|_{V_2}$ exactly has eigenvalues $|\lambda|^2$, $|\lambda|^{-2}$, $\lambda\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$, $\bar{\lambda}\lambda^{-1}$, and 1. Thus, the lemma follows. □

3. The Main Theorem

In this section, we will construct our examples of nonkähler manifolds and prove the main Theorem 1.1. We will assume Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 4.1, and postpone their proofs to later sections.

Throughout this section A is a matrix in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}])$. There is no additional restriction on A unless we state it explicitly.

Endow $S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1$ with the standard complex structure and the standard symplectic structure, such that $S^1\times[0,1]$ has the symplectic area 1. By the complex structure and the symplectic structure (as in Proposition 2.5) of K, the manifold $S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K$ has its product complex and symplectic structures.

For any A_K in Proposition 2.11, one can define a $\mathbb{Z}$ action on $S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}\times S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K &\to S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K \\ (n,a,b,c) &\to (a,b+n,A_K^n(c)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.1. *The quotient space*

$$M(A) = (S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K)/\mathbb{Z} \tag{3.2}$$

is a compact smooth manifold of dimension 6. *It has a unique complex (resp. symplectic) structure such that the quotient map $S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K\to M(A)$ is holomorphic (resp. symplectic). The above complex structure and symplectic structure of $M(A)$ are compatible with its smooth structure.*

Proof. Since the $\mathbb{Z}$ action is smooth, proper and without fixed points, $M(A)$ is a smooth manifold. Notice that $M(A)$ is a fiber bundle over $S^1\times S^1$ with compact fiber K. Hence it is compact and has dimension 6. Furthermore, the $\mathbb{Z}$ action is both holomorphic (Proposition 2.11) and symplectic (Proposition 2.12). Thus $M(A)$ has the desired complex and symplectic structures. □

Remark 3.2. In fact, $M(A) = M(-A)$. This is because $A_{\bar{T}} = (-A)_{\bar{T}}$, and hence $A_K = (-A)_K$.

Proposition 3.1 defines the manifold $M(A)$ in Theorem 1.1. Now, we need to verify that $M(A)$ satisfies all the properties in Theorem 1.1 when $|\operatorname{tr}(A)| > 2$.

Proposition 3.3. *The fundamental group $\pi_1(M(A)) = \mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}$, and the odd-dimensional Betti numbers of $M(A)$ are even.*

Proof. Since $M(A)$ is a fiber bundle with base $S^1\times S^1$ and fiber K, we have the homotopy long exact sequence of fibrations

$$\pi_1(K)\to\pi_1(M(A))\to\pi_1(S^1\times S^1)\to\pi_0(K).$$

According to Proposition 2.6, $\pi_1(K)=0$. Obviously $\pi_0(K)$ is a one-point set. Therefore, $\pi_1(M(A))\cong\pi_1(S^1\times S^1)\cong\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}$, and hence the 1st Betti number of $M(A)$ is 2. Since $\dim(M(A))=6$, by Poincaré duality, the 5th Betti number is also 2. It also follows from Poincaré duality that the cup product pairing

$$H^3(M(A);\mathbb{R})\otimes H^3(M(A);\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{\cup}H^6(M(A);\mathbb{R})\cong\mathbb{R}$$

is non-degenerate. This pairing is skew-symmetric. Thus, the dimension of $H^3(M(A);\mathbb{R})$ is even. □

Suppose F is a topological space and $\eta:F\to F$ is a homeomorphism. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$ action on the topological space $\mathbb{R}^1\times F$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R}^1\times F &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1\times F\\ (n,t,a) &\longrightarrow (n+t,\eta^n(a))\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

The quotient space $N=(\mathbb{R}^1\times F)/\mathbb{Z}$ is a fiber bundle over S^1 with fiber F. Denote by $p_2:N\to S^1$ the projection. Let $i:F\to N$ be the natural inclusion map to the fiber over $0\in\mathbb{R}^1/\mathbb{Z}=S^1$.

Lemma 3.4. *We have the following long exact sequence*

$$\longrightarrow H^j(N;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{i^*}H^j(F;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{\eta^*-\mathrm{Id}}H^j(F;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{\delta}H^{j+1}(N;\mathbb{R})\longrightarrow,$$

where Id *is the identity map. If $\beta\in H^j(F;\mathbb{R})$ such that $i^*\alpha=\beta$ with $\alpha\in H^j(N;\mathbb{R})$, then $\delta(\beta)=p_2^*s\cup\alpha$. Here s is the generator in $H^1(S^1;\mathbb{Z})$, which represents the positive orientation of S^1.*

Lemma 3.4 is a special case of the Wang sequence which studies fibrations over spheres S^n (see (1.9) in [41, p. 319] and the proposition 6.4.8 in [12, p. 212]). In the literature, the Wang sequence is usually proved in the case of $n>1$. Nevertheless, the proof of the case $n=1$ using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence is similar. So we skip the proof here.

Taking $F=K$ and $\eta=A_K$ in (3.3), we get a fiber bundle $N=(\mathbb{R}^1\times K)/\mathbb{Z}$ over S^1 with fiber K. By definition, $M(A)=S^1\times N$.

Lemma 3.5. *The homomorphism $i^*:H^{2j}(N;\mathbb{R})\to H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism when $j=0$, 2. When $j=1$, i^* is injective, and its image $\operatorname{Im}i^*=V$, where V is the set of fixed elements of A_K^* in $H^2(K;\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, we have the following Wang sequence

$$H^{2j-1}(K;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{\delta}H^{2j}(N;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{i^*}H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{A_K^*-\mathrm{Id}}H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R}).$$

By Lemma 2.7, $H^{2j-1}(K;\mathbb{R})=0$. Therefore, i^* is injective and its image is the subspace of $H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R})$ fixed by A_K^*.

For $j=0$, 2, the whole space $H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R})$ is fixed by A_K^*. Thus i^* is an isomorphism for $j=0$, 2. □

Lemma 3.6. *If A is diagonalizable, then A_K^* is diagonalizable on $H^2(K;\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.13, it suffices to show that the restrictions of A_K^* to the direct summands $\bigoplus_{1\leq j\leq 16}\mathbb{C}\langle \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)\rangle$ and $H^2\left(K,\bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16}Y_j;\mathbb{C}\right)$ are both diagonalizable. By Proposition 2.13, A_K^* permutes these $\mathrm{PD}(Y_j)$. Thus the restriction of A_K^* on $\bigoplus_{1\leq j\leq 16}\mathbb{R}\langle \mathrm{PD}(Y_j)$ is unitary and hence diagonalizable. The restriction of A_K^* on $H^2\left(K,\bigsqcup_{1\leq j\leq 16}Y_j;\mathbb{C}\right)$ is isomorphic to the induced action of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\wedge^2(4,\mathbb{C})$, and hence diagonalizable too. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $s\in H^1(S^1;\mathbb{Z})$ be the generator corresponding to the positive orientation. Denote by $s_2=p_2^*s\in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$.*

When $j=0$ or 2, the map

$$H^{2j}(N;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{s_2\cup}H^{2j+1}(N;\mathbb{R}) \tag{3.4}$$

is an isomorphism. Here $s_2\cup$ is the map defined by taking cup product with s_2.

Assume additionally A is diagonalizable. Then (3.4) is also an isomorphism for $j=1$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, we have the following Wang sequence

$$H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{A_K^*-\mathrm{Id}}H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{\delta}H^{2j+1}(N;\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{i^*}H^{2j+1}(K;\mathbb{R}).$$

By Lemma 2.7, $H^{2j+1}(K;\mathbb{R})=0$, and hence δ is surjective.

Clearly, $A_K^*-\mathrm{Id}=0$ on $H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R})$, when $j=0$ or 2. If additionally A is diagonalizable, by Lemma 3.6, then $A_K^*-\mathrm{Id}$ is diagonalizable on $H^2(K;\mathbb{C})$. This assumption further implies that, on $H^2(K;\mathbb{R})$, the kernel of $A_K^*-\mathrm{Id}$ is a complement of the image of $A_K^*-\mathrm{Id}$.

Thus, when $j=0$ or 2, the map

$$\delta:\ker[(A_K^*-\mathrm{Id})|_{H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R})}]\longrightarrow H^{2j+1}(N;\mathbb{R})$$

is an isomorphism. When $j=1$ and when A is diagonalizable, δ is also an isomorphism.

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5,

$$i^*:H^{2j}(N;\mathbb{R})\longrightarrow\ker[(A_K^*-\mathrm{Id})|_{H^{2j}(K;\mathbb{R})}]$$

is an isomorphism. Combining the above two isomorphisms,

$$\delta\circ i^*:H^{2j}(N;\mathbb{R})\longrightarrow H^{2j+1}(N;\mathbb{R})$$

is also an isomorphism. By Lemma 3.4, $\delta\circ i^*(\alpha)=s_2\cup\alpha$, which completes the proof. □

By Lemmas 3.5, 3.7 and the Künneth formula, we obtain the following description of $H^*(M(A);\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $s_1\in H^1(S^1;\mathbb{Z})$ and $[K]^*\in H^4(K;\mathbb{Z})$ be the generators corresponding to the positive orientations.*

For $M(A) = S^1 \times N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(M(A);\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}, \\ H^1(M(A);\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\langle s_1 \times 1\rangle \oplus \mathbb{R}\langle 1 \times s_2\rangle, \\ H^2(M(A);\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\langle s_1 \times s_2\rangle \oplus (1 \times V), \\ H^5(M(A);\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\langle s_1 \times [K]^*\rangle \oplus \mathbb{R}\langle 1 \times (s_2 \cup [K]^*)\rangle, \\ H^6(M(A);\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R}\langle s_1 \times (s_2 \cup [K]^*)\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Assume additionally that A is diagonalizable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(M(A);\mathbb{R}) &= (s_1 \times V) \oplus [1 \times (s_2 \cup V)], \\ H^4(M(A);\mathbb{R}) &= [s_1 \times (s_2 \cup V)] \oplus \mathbb{R}\langle 1 \times [K]^*\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here $s_2 \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ is the element defined in Lemma 3.7, and V is the subspace of $H^2(K;\mathbb{R})$ defined in Lemma 3.5. We identify $H^2(N;\mathbb{R})$ with V via the isomorphism $i^* : H^2(N;\mathbb{R}) \to V$, and we also consider $[K]^*$ as an element in $H^4(N;\mathbb{R})$ via the isomorphism $i^* : H^4(N;\mathbb{R}) \to H^4(K;\mathbb{R})$.

In the rest of this section, denote $M(A)$ by $M_C(A)$ when we consider it as a complex manifold, and denote $M(A)$ by $M_S(A)$ when we consider it as a symplectic manifold.

Next, we show the Calabi-Yau properties of $M_C(A)$ and $M_S(A)$.

Proposition 3.9. *The canonical line bundle $\mathcal{K}_{M_C(A)} = \bigwedge^3 (T^*M_C(A))$ is holomorphically trivial.*

Proof. It suffices to construct a holomorphic frame of $\mathcal{K}_{M_C(A)}$. Since $\mathcal{K}_K$ is holomorphically trivial, there exist holomorphic frames of $\mathcal{K}_K$, for example, the holomorphic symplectic form ϖ in Proposition 2.12. Furthermore, since $\mathbb{C}^1$ is a holomorphic covering of $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1$, obviously, dz is a holomorphic frame of $\mathcal{K}_{S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1}$, where z is the standard coordinate of $\mathbb{C}^1$. Thus $dz \times \varpi$ is a holomorphic frame of $\mathcal{K}_{S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K}$. By Proposition 2.12, $dz \times \varpi$ is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}$ action in (3.1). By (3.2), $dz \times \varpi$ descends to a desired holomorphic frame of $\mathcal{K}_{M_C(A)}$. □

Proposition 3.10. *The symplectic manifold $M_S(A)$ is integrally Calabi-Yau. In other words, $c_1 = 0$, where $c_1 \in H^2(M_S(A);\mathbb{Z})$ is the 1st Chern class of $M_S(A)$ with respect to its symplectic structure.*

Proof. Notice that $M_S(A)$ is naturally a fiber bundle over $S^1 \times S^1$. Denote the fiber bundle projection by $p : M_S(A) \to S^1 \times S^1$. Our proof is based on the fact that both the base and the fibers are Calabi-Yau. We shall detect c_1 by using a fiber and a section.

Since $\pi_1(M_S(A)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, by the universal coefficient theorem, $H^2(M_S(A);\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free. It suffices to check that $c_1 = 0$ in $H^2(M_S(A);\mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 3.8, we have $c_1 = es_1 \times s_2 + 1 \times \gamma$, where $e \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in H^2(N;\mathbb{R})$.

Define $\iota : N \to M_S(A) = S^1 \times N$ by $\iota(x) = (0, x)$. Then $\iota^* c_1 = \gamma$. Let $i : K \to N$ be the inclusion in Lemma 3.5. By Lemma 3.5, $\gamma = 0$ if $i^*\gamma = 0$. Obviously, $\iota \circ i : K \to M_S(A)$ is a inclusion of a fiber which is a symplectic submanifold. Moreover, $i^*\gamma = (\iota \circ i)^* c_1$ is the 1st Chern class of the symplectic bundle $(\iota \circ i)^* TM_S(A) = TM_S(A)|_K$. It is easy to see that

$$TM_S(A)|_K = TK \oplus p^* T_{(0,0)}(S^1 \times S^1) \cong TK \times \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $T_{(0,0)}(S^1\times S^1)$ is the tangent space of $S^1\times S^1$ at $(0,0)$. It is a direct sum of TK and a trivial symplectic bundle. Therefore, $(\iota\circ i)^*c_1 = c_1(K, \operatorname{Re}\varpi)$ is the 1st Chern class of the symplectic manifold $(K, \operatorname{Re}\varpi)$. By Proposition 2.5, we have $c_1(K, \operatorname{Re}\varpi) = 0$. Thus $i^*\gamma = 0$, and hence $\gamma = 0$.

By Proposition 2.11 (2), $A_K : K \to K$ has a fixed point y. Consider a section $\hat{h} : S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1 \to S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K$ of the fiber bundle $S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K$ such that $\hat{h}(a,b) = (a,b,y)$. This section descends to a symplectic embedding $h : S^1\times S^1 \to M_S(A)$ which is a section of the fiber bundle $M_S(A)$. We have $h^*c_1 = es\times s$, where $s\in H^1(S^1;\mathbb{Z})$ is the generator corresponding to the positive orientation. Certainly, h^*c_1 is also the 1st Chern class of the symplectic bundle $h^*TM_S(A)$ over $S^1\times S^1$.

Now we claim that

$$h^*TM_S(A) \cong T(S^1\times S^1)\times\mathbb{R}^4$$

as symplectic bundles. This can be proved as follows. Clearly, $T(S^1\times S^1)$ is a symplectic subbundle of $h^*TM_S(A)$. Denote by E the orthogonal complement of $T(S^1\times S^1)$ in $h^*TM_S(A)$ with respect to the symplectic structure. Then $h^*TM_S(A) = T(S^1\times S^1)\oplus E$. It suffices to show that E is trivial. Note that the orthogonal complement of $T(S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1)$ in $\hat{h}^*T(S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K)$ is obviously trivial. Therefore, $E = S^1\times E_1$, where E_1 is a symplectic bundle over S^1. Since every symplectic bundle over S^1 is trivial, E is trivial. Thus the claim is verified.

Since $T(S^1\times S^1)$ is symplectically trivial, by the above claim, we get $h^*c_1 = 0$. This also implies that $e = 0$.

Since $\gamma = 0$ and $e = 0$, we have $c_1 = 0$. □

Now we study the hard Lefschetz property of $M_S(A)$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $[\omega]\in H^2(M_S(A);\mathbb{R})$ be the cohomology class represented by the symplectic form ω of $M_S(A)$. Then*

$$[\omega] = s_1\times s_2 + 1\times\theta$$

where $\theta\in V$ and $\theta^2 = d[K]^*$ with $d>0$. Here s_1, s_2, $[K]^*$ and V are as in Lemma 3.8.

Proof. Putting together the standard symplectic form ω_1 on $S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1$ and the $\operatorname{Re}\varpi$ on K, we obtain a product symplectic form on $S^1\times\mathbb{R}^1\times K$. This symplectic form descends to the symplectic form ω on $M_S(A)$. The form ω_1 also descends to a symplectic form on $S^1\times S^1$, which we also denote by ω_1. Then, $\int_{S^1\times S^1}\omega_1 = 1$.

Clearly, $1\times\operatorname{Re}\varpi$ is a closed form on $\mathbb{R}^1\times K$. By Proposition 2.12, $1\times\operatorname{Re}\varpi$ descends to a closed form ω_2 on N. Then

$$\omega = p^*\omega_1 + 1\times\omega_2,$$

where $p : M_S(A)\to S^1\times S^1$ is the projection. This implies $[\omega] = s_1\times s_2 + 1\times\theta$, where $\theta = [\omega_2]\in H^2(N;\mathbb{R})$, and $H^2(N;\mathbb{R})$ is identified with the V in Lemma 3.8.

Since $(\operatorname{Re}\varpi)^2$ is a volume form on K, we have $[\operatorname{Re}\varpi]^2 = d[K]^*\in H^4(K;\mathbb{R})$ with $d>0$. Furthermore, the restriction of ω_2 on a fiber K is equal to $\operatorname{Re}\varpi$. Therefore, $\theta^2 = d[K]^*$, where $H^4(N;\mathbb{R})$ is identified with $H^4(K;\mathbb{R})$ by Lemma 3.8. □

Proposition 3.12. *Assume A is diagonalizable. Then, for any j,*

$$L^j_{[\omega]} : H^{3-j}(M_S(A);\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{[\omega]^j\cup} H^{3+j}(M_S(A);\mathbb{R})$$

is an isomorphism, where $[\omega] \in H^2(M_S(A);\mathbb{R})$ is the cohomology class represented by the symplectic form ω of $M_S(A)$.

Proof. Since A is diagonalizable, we can use all the conclusions of Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8.

The statement is trivial if $j \neq 1$, 2 or 3. Moreover, it suffices to show that $L^j_{[\omega]}$ is injective because $\dim(H^{3-j}) = \dim(H^{3+j})$. By Lemma 3.11, we have $[\omega] = s_1 \times s_2 + 1 \times \theta$. Note that $s_1^2 = 0$, $s_2^2 = 0$, $\theta^3 = 0$, and $\theta^2 = d[K]^*$ with $d > 0$.

When $j = 3$,

$$[\omega]^3 = 3s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \theta^2) = 3ds_1 \times (s_2 \cup [K]^*).$$

By Lemma 3.8 and the fact that $d \neq 0$, we infer $L^3_{[\omega]}$ is an isomorphism.

When $j = 2$,

$$[\omega]^2 = 2s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \theta) + 1 \times \theta^2 = 2s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \theta) + d \times [K]^*.$$

By Lemma 3.8, for any $\beta \in H^1(M_S(A);\mathbb{R})$, it is of the form $\beta = s_1 \times a + b \times s_2$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$L^2_{[\omega]}(\beta) = ads_1 \times [K]^* + bd \times (s_2 \cup [K]^*).$$

By Lemma 3.7, $s_2 \cup [K]^* \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.8, $s_1 \times [k]^*$ and $1 \times (s_2 \cup [K]^*)$ are linearly independent in $H^5(K;\mathbb{R})$. Since $d \neq 0$, if $L^2_{[\omega]}(\beta) = 0$, then $a = b = 0$ and $\beta = 0$. Therefore, $L^2_{[\omega]}$ is injective.

When $j = 1$, note that: (i) $\theta \in V$; (ii) $\theta \cup V \subseteq H^4(K;\mathbb{R})$; (iii) $\theta^2 \neq 0$; and (iv) $\dim(H^4(K;\mathbb{R})) = 1$. We infer that $V = \mathbb{R}\langle\theta\rangle \oplus V_2$, where $V_2 = \{\gamma \in V \mid \theta \cup \gamma = 0\}$. By Lemma 3.8, for any $\beta \in H^2(M_S(A);\mathbb{R})$, it is of the form $\beta = as_1 \times s_2 + b \times \theta + 1 \times \gamma$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\gamma \in V_2$. Since $\theta^2 = d[K]^*$ and $\theta \cup \gamma = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & L^1_{[\omega]}(\beta) \\ = \ & (a+b)s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \theta) + s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \gamma) + b \times \theta^2 + 1 \times (\theta \cup \gamma) \\ = \ & (a+b)s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \theta) + s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \gamma) + bd \times [K]^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta \neq 0$ and by Lemma 3.7, we have $s_2 \cup \theta \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.8, $s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \theta)$ and $1 \times [K]^*$ are linearly independent in $H^4(K;\mathbb{R})$. If $\gamma \neq 0$, then $s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \theta)$, $s_1 \times (s_2 \cup \gamma)$ and $1 \times [K]^*$ are also linearly independent. Recall that $d \neq 0$. Suppose $L^1_{[\omega]}(\beta) = 0$. Then $a + b = 0$, $b = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$, and hence $\beta = 0$. Therefore, $L^1_{[\omega]}$ is injective. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $|\operatorname{tr}(A)| > 2$, we know that A has two distinct eigenvalues λ and λ^{-1} with $|\lambda| > 1$. Therefore, A is diagonalizable.

Take $M_C = M_C(A)$ and $M_S = M_S(A)$. Then the properties (1) - (7) follow from Propositions 3.1, 3.9, 3.10, 3.3, 3.12, and 4.1.

We prove (8) by contradiction. Suppose $M(A) \times Y$ is homotopy equivalent to a compact Kähler manifold X.

Since $H^*(M(A);\mathbb{C})$ is finite dimensional, we have the Künneth formula

$$H^k(X;\mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=k} H^i(M(A);\mathbb{C}) \otimes H^j(Y;\mathbb{C}).$$

Since $H^*(X;\mathbb{C})$ is finite dimensional, so is $H^*(Y;\mathbb{C})$. As the argument can be applied on each path component of Y, we may assume that Y is path-connected.

Recall that $M(A) = S^1 \times N$ and N is a fiber bundle over S^1 with fiber a Kummer surface K. Then $M(A)\times Y$ is a fiber bundle over S^1 with path-connected fiber $S^1\times K\times Y$. By the Künneth formula, $H^*(S^1\times K\times Y;\mathbb{C})$ are finite dimensional. Hence we can apply Theorem 1.3 to $\phi : M(A)\times Y \to S^1$, where ϕ is the composition of the projection $M(A)\times Y \to M(A)$ and the bundle map $M(A)\to S^1$. By (3.1), there is a monodromy map

$$\mathrm{Id}\times A_K \times \mathrm{Id} : S^1\times K\times Y \to S^1\times K\times Y$$

which yields a monodromy action on $H^*(S^1\times K\times Y;\mathbb{C})$, where Id are the identity maps. By the Künneth formula again, $H^2(S^1\times K\times Y;\mathbb{C})$ has an $(\mathrm{Id}\times A_K\times \mathrm{Id})^*$ invariant subspace $H^0(S^1;\mathbb{C})\otimes H^2(K;\mathbb{C})\otimes H^0(Y;\mathbb{C})$, on which $(\mathrm{Id}\times A_K\times\mathrm{Id})^* = 1\otimes A_K^*\otimes 1$. Since A has an eigenvalue λ, by Lemma 2.14, $(\mathrm{Id}\times A_K\times\mathrm{Id})^*$ has a real eigenvalue $|\lambda|^2$ on $H^2(S^1\times K\times Y;\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the monodromy action of the fiber bundle $\phi : M(A)\times Y\to S^1$ has an eigenvalue $|\lambda|^2$. Since $|\lambda|>1$, we have $|\lambda|^2>1$.

However, we have assumed that $M(A)\times Y$ is homotopy equivalent to a compact Kähler manifold. By Theorem 1.3, the eigenvalues of this monodromy action have to be roots of unity. This is a contradiction to the fact that $|\lambda|^2>1$. □

Example 3.13. There is a large family of $A\in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}])$ satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.1. For instance, we can choose A to be

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1+\sqrt{-1} & \sqrt{-1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1+4\sqrt{-1} \\ 1 & 2\sqrt{-1} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n-2 \\ 1 & n-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for any integer $n>2$.

4. Formality

The goal of this section is to prove the following proposition, which is Theorem 1.1 (7).

Proposition 4.1. *The real homotopy type of $M(A)$ in Theorem 1.1 is formal.*

Let us first recall the definition of the real homotopy type of a manifold being formal.

A commutative differential graded algebra (CDGA) over a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0, denoted by $(\mathcal{A},d)$, is a graded algebra $\mathcal{A}=\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}\mathcal{A}^k$ with a differential d which satisfies the following conditions:

(1) for all $x\in\mathcal{A}^k$ and $y\in\mathcal{A}^l$, we have $xy=(-1)^{kl}yx$;
(2) the differential $d:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$ has degree 1, i.e. for any k, we have $d(\mathcal{A}^k)\subseteq\mathcal{A}^{k+1}$, and $d^2=0$;
(3) for all $x\in\mathcal{A}^k$ and $y\in\mathcal{A}$, we have $d(xy)=dx\cdot y+(-1)^k x\cdot dy$.

If $x \in \mathcal{A}^k$, we say x is a homogenous element with degree k, and denote by $\deg(x) = k$.

With differential d, a CDGA $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ is naturally a complex of $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces. Hence we can define its cohomology groups $H^i(\mathcal{A}, d)$. Notice that with trivial differential, $(H^*(\mathcal{A}, d), 0)$ has a natural CDGA structure. A homomorphism of CDGA is a homomorphism of graded algebra which is also a map of complexes.

Definition 4.2. A CDGA $(\mathcal{M}, d)$ is called minimal if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) it is a free commutative graded algebra;
(2) there exists a collection of homogeneous generators $\{a_\tau \mid \tau \in \mathcal{I}\}$, for some well ordered index set $\mathcal{I}$, such that $\deg(a_\mu) \leq \deg(a_\tau)$ if $\mu, \tau \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\mu < \tau$. Moreover, da_τ is expressed in terms of finitely many a_μ with $\mu < \tau$.

Here a free commutative graded algebra is the tensor product of the polynomial algebra generated by its free generators of even degrees and the exterior algebra generated by its free generators of odd degrees. For more discussions about minimal CDGAs, see [26, p. 14] and [14, p. 152].

Definition 4.3. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a CDGA and $\mathcal{M}$ is a minimal CDGA. If there is a homomorphism of CDGA $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{A}$ which induces isomorphisms $\varphi^* : H^*(\mathcal{M}) \to H^*(\mathcal{A})$ of cohomology groups, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a minimal model of $\mathcal{A}$.

A CDGA $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ is called connected if $H^0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{K}$. (Here, $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^0$ as a subalgebra and the differential d vanishes on $\mathbb{K}$.) If $\mathcal{A}$ is connected, then there exists a minimal model $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is unique up to isomorphisms (see [26, chapter 6]).

Definition 4.4. [11, p. 260] Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a minimal model of $\mathcal{A}$. If there exists a homomorphism of CDGA $\psi : (\mathcal{M}, d) \to (H^*(\mathcal{A}), d = 0)$ which induces the identity isomorphism of $H^*(\mathcal{A})$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called formal.

Suppose M is a smooth manifold. Denote by ΩM the de Rham complex of differential forms on M. Then ΩM is a CDGA over $\mathbb{R}$ with cohomology $H^*(\Omega M) \cong H^*(M; \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 4.5. The real homotopy type of a smooth manifold M is formal, or briefly M is formal, if ΩM is formal.

We have explained the content of Proposition 4.1. To prove this proposition, we need the definition of s-formal, as in [14, definition 2.2]. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ has homogeneous free generators a_τ ($\tau \in \mathcal{I}$). Denote by $\mathcal{V}^i$ the linear space spanned by $\{a_\tau \mid \tau \in \mathcal{I}, \deg(a_\tau) = i\}$, i.e. $\mathcal{V}^i$ is spanned by the homogeneous free generators of degree i. Clearly, $\mathcal{V}^i \subseteq \mathcal{M}^i$. It is necessary to point out that, for a fixed $\mathcal{M}$, there are different choices of homogeneous free generators. The space $\mathcal{M}^i$ is independent of these choices. However, $\mathcal{V}^i$ does depend on the choices. In fact, this observation has been employed in the proof of Theorem 4.9 below. Let X be a subset of $\mathcal{M}$. Denote by $\bigwedge(X)$ the subalgebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by X in $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 4.6. Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ has a minimal model $(\mathcal{M}, d)$. We say $\mathcal{A}$ is s-formal for some integer $s \geq 0$ or $s = +\infty$ if we can choose homogeneous free generators of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{V}^i = \mathcal{C}^i \oplus \mathcal{N}^i$, where $\mathcal{C}^i$ and $\mathcal{N}^i$ satisfy the following conditions:

(1) $d(\mathcal{C}^i) = 0$;

(2) $d : \mathcal{N}^i \to \mathcal{M}$ is injective;
(3) any cocycle in the ideal $I(\bigoplus_{i\le s}\mathcal{N}^i)$, generated by $\bigoplus_{i\le s}\mathcal{N}^i$ in $\bigwedge\left(\bigoplus_{i\le s}\mathcal{V}^i\right)$, is exact in $\mathcal{M}$.

We say a smooth manifold M is s-formal if its de Rham complex ΩM is s-formal.

Theorem 4.7. [11, (4.1)] *A CDGA $\mathcal{A}$ is formal if and only if it is $+\infty$-formal.*

For a manifold M of dimension n, we have $H^i(\Omega M) = 0$ for $i > n$. Thus when $i > n$, a cocycle of degree i in a minimal model of ΩM must be exact. Theorem 4.7 immediately implies the following result.

Theorem 4.8. [14, lemma 2.10] *Suppose M is an n-dimensional smooth manifold. Then M is formal if and only if it is n-formal.*

Furthermore, for a connected and orientable compact manifold, M. Fernández and V. Muñoz proved in [14] the following powerful theorem which is important for our proof of Proposition 4.1. The key idea of their proof is that one can improve Theorem 4.8 by taking advantage of Poincaré duality.

Theorem 4.9. [14, theorem 3.1] *Suppose M is a connected and orientable compact smooth manifold of dimension $2n$ or $2n-1$. Then M is formal if and only if it is $(n-1)$-formal.*

Recall that $M(A) = S^1 \times N$. We shall apply Theorem 4.9 to N by constructing a minimal model of ΩN. As mentioned above, every connected CDGA $\mathcal{A}$ has a minimal model $\mathcal{M}$. Let us briefly describe the construction of such a minimal model $\mathcal{M}$. For more details, see [26, chapter 6].

We shall inductively construct a minimal CDGA $\mathcal{M}_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \ge 0$) and a CDGA homomorphism $\varphi_n : \mathcal{M}_n \to \mathcal{A}$ such that φ_n is n-regular and $(\mathcal{M}_n, \varphi_n)$ is an extension of $(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})$. Here n-regular means $\varphi_n^* : H^i(\mathcal{M}_n) \to H^i(\mathcal{A})$ is an isomorphism for $i \le n$ and an injection for $i = n+1$. Given such $\mathcal{M}_n$ and φ_n, we define $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{n\ge 0}\mathcal{M}_n$, and $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{A}$ by $\varphi|_{\mathcal{M}_n} = \varphi_n$. Certainly, $(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ is a minimal model of $\mathcal{A}$.

To construct $\mathcal{M}_n$ and φ_n, we start by putting $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}_0^0 = \mathbb{K}$, and $\varphi_0 : \mathcal{M}_0^0 = \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^0$ such that it is the identity isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$. Then φ_0 is 0-regular.

Next, assuming the existence of $(\mathcal{M}_n, \varphi_n)$, we now construct $(\mathcal{M}_{n+1}, \varphi_{n+1})$. If $\varphi_n^* : H^{n+1}(\mathcal{M}_n) \to H^{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$ is surjective, then it is an isomorphism. Otherwise, choose a collection of cocycles $\{\alpha_{0,\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathcal{I}_0\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{n+1}$ which represent a basis of the cokernel of $\varphi_n^* : H^{n+1}(\mathcal{M}_n) \to H^{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$. Here $\mathcal{I}_0$ is an ordered index set. Introducing free homogeneous generators $a_{0,\mu}$ of degree $n+1$ to $\mathcal{M}_n$, we get a CDGA $\mathcal{X}_0$. More precisely, $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is the free CDGA generated by these $a_{0,\mu}$ with zero differentials. We identify the new generators $1 \otimes a_{0,\mu} \in \mathcal{X}_0$ with $a_{0,\mu}$, and they succeed those old generators. Extend φ_n to $\psi_0 : \mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\psi_0(a_{0,\mu}) = \alpha_{0,\mu}$. Then $\psi_0^* : H^i(\mathcal{X}_0) \to H^i(\mathcal{A})$ is an isomorphism for $i \le n+1$. Denote by $\mathcal{Y}_0$ the kernel of $\psi_0^* : H^{n+2}(\mathcal{X}_0) \to H^{n+2}(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{Y}_0 \ne 0$, choose a collection of cocycles $\{b_{1,\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathcal{I}_1\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_0^{n+2}$ which represent a basis of $\mathcal{Y}_0$. Introducing free homogeneous generators $a_{1,\mu}$ of degree $n+1$ to $\mathcal{X}_0$, we get a CDGA extension $\mathcal{X}_1$ such that $da_{1,\mu} = b_{1,\mu}$. Here the elements in $\mathcal{I}_1$ succeed those in $\mathcal{I}_0$. Since $\psi_0(b_{1,\mu})$ is exact in $\mathcal{A}$, we have $\psi_0(b_{1,\mu}) = d\alpha_{1,\mu}$ for some $\alpha_{1,\mu} \in \mathcal{A}^{n+1}$. Extend ψ_0 to $\psi_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\psi_1(a_{1,\mu}) = \alpha_{1,\mu}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is killed in $H^{n+2}(\mathcal{X}_1)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{Y}_1$ the kernel of $\psi_1^* : H^{n+2}(\mathcal{X}_1) \to H^{n+2}(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{Y}_1 \neq 0$, then we extend $(\mathcal{X}_1, \psi_1)$ to be $(\mathcal{X}_2, \psi_2)$ which kills $\mathcal{Y}_1$. Repeating this procedure, we get $\mathcal{M}_{n+1} = \bigcup_{p\geq 0} \mathcal{X}_p$ and $\varphi_{n+1} : \mathcal{M}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi_{n+1}|_{\mathcal{X}_p} = \psi_p$. Clearly, $\varphi_{n+1}^* : H^i(\mathcal{M}_{n+1}) \to H^i(\mathcal{A})$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq n+1$. Suppose $b \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{n+2}$ is a cocycle such that $\varphi_{n+1}(b)$ is exact in $\mathcal{A}$. This b must be in $\mathcal{X}_p$ for some p. Then its cohomology class is killed in $\mathcal{X}_{p+1}$. Thus $\varphi_{n+1}^* : H^{n+2}(\mathcal{M}_{n+1}) \to H^{n+2}(\mathcal{A})$ is injective. We see that φ_{n+1} is $(n+1)$-regular.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Since $M(A) = S^1 \times N$ and S^1 is formal, it suffices to show that N is formal. We shall first construct a minimal model of ΩN.

Since the matrix A is diagonalizable, by Lemma 3.7, $\dim H^1(\Omega N) = 1$ and for any i

$$s_2 \cup : H^{2i}(\Omega N) \to H^{2i+1}(\Omega N)$$

is an isomorphism. Here s_2 is a basis of $H^1(\Omega N)$. Choose $\alpha \in (\Omega N)^1$ and $\beta_j \in (\Omega N)^2$ $(1 \leq j \leq k)$ such that $[\alpha] = s_2$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ represent a basis of $H^2(\Omega N)$. Then $\alpha \wedge \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha \wedge \beta_k$ represent a basis of $H^3(\Omega N)$.

Construct a minimal CDGA $\mathcal{M}_2$ which is generated by one homogeneous element a of degree 1 and homogeneous elements $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ of degree 2. Define the differential d of $\mathcal{M}_2$ to be zero. Then $\mathcal{M}_2$ is the tensor product of the exterior algebra $\bigwedge(a)$ and the polynomial algebra $\bigwedge(b_1, \ldots, b_k)$. Since $(\bigwedge(a))^i = 0$ for $i > 1$, the linear space $\mathcal{M}_2^1$ has a basis a, $\mathcal{M}_2^2$ has a basis $b_1, \ldots, b_k$, and $\mathcal{M}_2^3$ has a basis $ab_1, \ldots, ab_k$. Define $\varphi_2 : \mathcal{M}_2 \to \Omega N$ such that $\varphi_2(a) = \alpha$ and $\varphi_2(b_j) = \beta_j$. Then $\varphi_2(ab_j) = \alpha \wedge \beta_j$. We see that $\varphi_2^* : H^i(\mathcal{M}_2) \to H^i(\Omega N)$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. In particular, φ_2 is 2-regular.

Apply the argument before this proof, we can extend $\mathcal{M}_2$ to be a minimal model $\mathcal{M}$ for ΩN. Note that the extension only introduces new generators of degrees greater than 2. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}^i = \mathcal{M}_2^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. In particular, the differential d vanishes on $\mathcal{M}^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. We further infer that $\mathcal{N}^i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2$, where $\mathcal{N}^i$ is defined as in Definition 4.6. Thus N is 2-formal.

Since $\dim N = 5$, by Theorem 4.9, we conclude that N is formal. □

5. Cohomology Jump Loci

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.2. The proofs will be based on the theory of cohomology jump loci. We shall first review some basic aspects of this theory and the main result of [40].

Let X be a connected topological space that is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW complex. Obviously, X is also path-connected. Define the character variety $\mathrm{Char}(X) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{C}^*)$ to be the set of rank one characters of $\pi_1(X)$, where $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\mathrm{Char}(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to the moduli space of rank one local systems on X. (See for example [12] for the definition of local systems.) For each $\rho \in \mathrm{Char}(X)$, there is a unique rank one local system L_ρ whose monodromy action is isomorphic to ρ. The character variety $\mathrm{Char}(X)$ is naturally an abelian complex linear algebraic group. In fact, since $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(X;\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}^*)$, we infer $\mathrm{Char}(X)$ is isomorphic to the product of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{b_1(X)}$ and a finite abelian group, where $b_1(X)$ is the first Betti number of X.

The cohomology jump loci $\Sigma_k^j(X)$ of X are defined by

$$\Sigma_k^j(X) = \{\rho \in \mathrm{Char}(X) | \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^j(X; L_\rho) \geq k\}.$$

They are algebraic subsets of $\mathrm{Char}(X)$. It is easy to see that both $\mathrm{Char}(X)$ and $\Sigma_k^j(X)$ are homotopy invariants. More precisely, if $h : X \to Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, then h induces an isomorphism $h^* : \mathrm{Char}(Y) \to \mathrm{Char}(X)$ of algebraic groups which maps $\Sigma_k^j(Y)$ onto $\Sigma_k^j(X)$.

Theorem 5.1. [40, theorem 1.3] *Suppose X is homotopy equivalent to a compact Kähler manifold. Then for any j, k, each irreducible component of $\Sigma_k^j(X)$ is of the form $\tau \cdot T$, where τ is a torsion element, i.e. an element of finite order, in $\mathrm{Char}(X)$ and T is an irreducible linear subgroup of $\mathrm{Char}(X)$.*

Remark 5.2. In [3], it was proved by Arapura that each irreducible component of $\Sigma_k^1(X)$ is of the form $\tau \cdot T$, where τ is a unitary character. Using this result, Papadima and Suciu ([31]) constructed a family of compact, orientable, formal 4-manifolds, which have the real homotopy type of smooth projective surfaces, but they are not homotopy equivalent to any compact Kähler manifold.

Let $p : E \to S^1$ be a fiber bundle with fiber F. Suppose E is connected and suppose E is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW complex. Then p induces a homomorphism $p_* : \pi_1(E) \to \pi_1(S^1)$ which further induces a homomorphism $p^* : \mathrm{Char}(S^1) \to \mathrm{Char}(E)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose F is path-connected. Then $p^* : \mathrm{Char}(S^1) \to \mathrm{Char}(E)$ is injective.*

Proof. Since F is path-connected, $p_* : \pi_1(E) \to \pi_1(S^1)$ is surjective, and hence $p^* : \mathrm{Char}(S^1) \to \mathrm{Char}(E)$ is injective. □

Fix an orientation of S^1. Then the monodromy action on F is well-defined up to homotopy.

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose that $H^j(F; \mathbb{C})$ is finite dimensional for all j. Denote by Γ_j the set of eigenvalues of the monodromy action on $H^j(F; \mathbb{C})$. Define $\Gamma_j^{-1} = \{t^{-1} \mid t \in \Gamma_j\}$. Then*

$$\left(\bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(E)\right) \cap p^* \mathrm{Char}(S^1) = p^* \left(\bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Gamma_j^{-1}\right).$$

Here $\mathrm{Char}(S^1)$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}^*$ via the fixed orientation of S^1.

A more general form of Proposition 5.4 is proved in [32, theorem 3.6]. For reader's convenience, we give a proof here.

Proof of Proposition 5.4. Given any $\hat{\rho} \in \mathrm{Char}(S^1)$, denote by $\rho = p^*(\hat{\rho})$. We have the following Wang sequence with local system, which is a generalized version of Lemma 3.4:

$$\longrightarrow H^{j-1}(F; L_\rho) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^j(E; L_\rho) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^j(F; L_\rho) \xrightarrow{\eta^{*,j} - \hat{\rho}^{-1}\,\mathrm{Id}} H^j(F; L_\rho) \longrightarrow,$$

where the map $\eta^{*,j} : H^j(F; L_\rho) \to H^j(F; L_\rho)$ is induced by the monodromy action. This result can be easily checked using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, and it is very similar to the proposition 6.4.8 in [12, p. 212].

By the above Wang sequence, we immediately get

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^j(E;L_\rho) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\eta^{*,j} - \hat{\rho}^{-1}\operatorname{Id}\right) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Coker}\left(\eta^{*,j-1} - \hat{\rho}^{-1}\operatorname{Id}\right). \tag{5.1}$$

By definition, $\rho \in \bigcup_{j\geq 0}\Sigma_1^j(E)$ if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^j(E;L_\rho) > 0$ for some j. By the above equation, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^j(E;L_\rho) > 0$ if and only if $\hat{\rho}^{-1} \in \Gamma_j$ or $\hat{\rho}^{-1} \in \Gamma_{j-1}$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. If λ is an eigenvalue of this monodromy action, by Proposition 5.4, $p^*(\lambda^{-1}) \in \left(\bigcup_{j\geq 0}\Sigma_1^j(E)\right) \cap p^*\operatorname{Char}(S^1)$. By Lemma 5.3, p^* is injective. Thus it suffices to show that $\left(\bigcup_{j\geq 0}\Sigma_1^j(E)\right) \cap p^*\operatorname{Char}(S^1)$ consists of torsion points, or equivalently $\Sigma_1^j(E) \cap p^*\operatorname{Char}(S^1)$ consists of torsion points for any j.

By Theorem 5.1, an irreducible component of $\Sigma_1^j(E)$ is of the form $\tau \cdot T$, where τ is a torsion point. Suppose $V = (\tau \cdot T) \cap p^*\operatorname{Char}(S^1)$ is nonempty. Then V is an algebraic subset. It suffices further to verify that V consists of torsion points.

By Proposition 5.4, V is a countable set. Thus V has dimension 0, and hence contains finitely many points. Suppose τ has order n. Then $G = \bigcup_{0\leq r\leq n-1}\tau^r \cdot T$ is a subgroup, and hence so is $G \cap p^*\operatorname{Char}(S^1)$. Let ξ be a point in V. It is easy to see that $G \cap p^*\operatorname{Char}(S^1) = \bigcup_{0\leq r\leq n-1}\xi^r \cdot V$. So $G \cap p^*\operatorname{Char}(S^1)$ is a finite group. Certainly, $V \subseteq G \cap p^*\operatorname{Char}(S^1)$. Therefore, every element of V is torsion. □

It remains to prove Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose G is an affine group and $g \in G$ is not a torsion element. Then g does not belong to two distinct irreducible affine subgroups with dimension* 1.

Proof. Assume g belongs to two such subgroups G_1 and G_2. Then $G_1 \cap G_2$ is an algebraic subset of G_1. Since G_1 and G_2 are irreducible, $G_1 \neq G_2$ and $\dim(G_1) = \dim(G_2) = 1$, we infer $\dim(G_1 \cap G_2) = 0$. Therefore, $G_1 \cap G_2$ is a finite subgroup. Thus, $g \in G_1 \cap G_2$ is a torsion element, which yields a contraction. □

Lemma 5.6. *For the manifold $M(A)$ in Theorem 1.1, we have the following.*

1. $\operatorname{Char}(M(A)) \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$.
2. $\bigcup_{j\geq 0}\Sigma_1^j(M(A)) \subset \{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ *under the above isomorphism.*
3. *Suppose $|\rho| \neq 1$. Then $(1,\rho) \in \bigcup_{j\geq 0}\Sigma_1^j(M(A))$ if and only if $\rho = |\lambda|^2$ or $\rho = |\lambda|^{-2}$, where λ is an eigenvalue of A.*

Proof. By the assumption on A in Theorem 1.1, A has eigenvalues λ and λ^{-1} with $|\lambda| > 1$.

According to (3.2), $M(A) = S^1 \times N$, where N is a fiber bundle over S^1 with fiber K, the Kummer surface. Denote by $p : M(A) \to S^1 \times S^1$ the bundle projection. Since K is simply connected (Proposition 2.6), $p_* : \pi_1(M(A)) \to \pi_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ is an isomorphism (Proposition 3.3), and hence

$$\operatorname{Char}(M(A)) = \operatorname{Char}(S^1 \times N) = p^*\operatorname{Char}(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

By the fact that

$$H^0(S^1; L_\rho) \cong H^1(S^1; L_\rho) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & (\rho = 1) \\ 0 & (\rho \neq 1) \end{cases},$$

and by the Künneth formula, we infer that

$$\bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(M(A)) = \left\{ (1,\rho) \middle| \rho \in \bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(N) \right\}.$$

By (3.2), $A_K : K \to K$ in Proposition 2.11 is equal to the monodromy map of the bundle $N \to S^1$. By Proposition 5.4, $\rho \in \bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(N)$ if and only if ρ^{-1} is an eigenvalue of A_K^* on $H^*(K;\mathbb{C})$. The conclusion now follows immediately from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.14. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By assumption, A_i has eigenvalues λ_i and λ_i^{-1} with $|\lambda_i| > 1$, where $i = 1, 2$. Since A_1 and A_2 have different spectral radii, $|\lambda_1| \neq |\lambda_2|$.

By Lemma 5.6, $\mathrm{Char}(M(A_i)) \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$. In addition, $\bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(M(A_i))$ is contained in $\{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, and $(1,\rho) \in \bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(M(A_i))$ with $|\rho| \neq 1$ if and only if $\rho = |\lambda_i|^2$ or $\rho = |\lambda_i|^{-2}$.

We prove this theorem by contradiction. Assume $M(A_1)$ and $M(A_2)$ are of the same homotopy type. Since cohomology jump loci are homotopy invariants, we know that there is an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ of affine groups such that

$$\varphi\left(\bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(M(A_1)) \right) = \bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(M(A_2)).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.6 (2),

$$\bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(M(A_2)) \subseteq (\{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^*) \cap \varphi(\{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^*).$$

As $\bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(M(A_2))$ contains a non-torsion element $(1, |\lambda_2|^2)$, by Lemma 5.5,

$$\varphi(\{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^*) = \{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Now, $\varphi|_{\{1\}\times\mathbb{C}^*} : \{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^* \to \{1\} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is an isomorphism of linear groups. Thus, $\varphi(1, |\lambda_1|^2) = (1, |\lambda_1|^2)$ or $\varphi(1, |\lambda_1|^2) = (1, |\lambda_1|^{-2})$.

Since $\varphi(1, |\lambda_1|^2) \in \bigcup_{j\geq 0} \Sigma_1^j(M(A_2))$ and $|\lambda_1|^{\pm 2} \neq 1$, by the above arguments, we have $|\lambda_1|^2 = |\lambda_2|^2$ or $|\lambda_1|^{-2} = |\lambda_2|^2$. This contradicts the fact that $|\lambda_1| \neq |\lambda_2|$, $|\lambda_1| > 1$ and $|\lambda_2| > 1$. □

Motivated by the work of Voisin [39] and Papadima-Suciu [31], we would like to end our paper with the following question.

Question. Does there exist a compact 6-manifold, which is of the same real homotopy type as a compact Kähler manifold and satisfies all the conclusions in Theorem 1.1?

References

[1] A. Akhmedov, Symplectic Calabi-Yau 6-manifolds, Adv. Math. 262 (2014), 115-125. 1

[2] J. Amorós, M. Burger, K. Corlette, D. Kotschick, and D. Toledo, Fundamental Groups of Compact Kähler Manifolds, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 44, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. 2

[3] D. Arapura, Geometry of cohomology support loci for local systems. I, J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), no. 3, 563-597. 19

[4] I. Babenko and I. Taĭmanov, On nonformal simply connected symplectic manifolds, Siberian Math. J. 41 (2000), no. 2, 204-217. 1

[5] S. Baldridge and P. Kirk, Coisotropic Luttinger surgery and some new examples of symplectic Calabi-Yau 6-manifolds, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 62 (2013), no. 5, 1457-1471. 1

[6] W. Barth, C. Peters, and A. Van de Ven, Compact complex surfaces, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), Springer-Verlag, 1984. 5

[7] F. Bogomolov, On Guan's examples of simply connected non-Kähler compact complex manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996), no. 5, 1037-1046. 1

[8] R. Bott and L. Tu, Differential forms in algebraic topology, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 82, Springer-Verlag, 1982. 6

[9] M. Burger, Fundamental groups of Kähler manifolds and geometric group theory, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2009/2010, Exposés 1012-1026. 2

[10] L. Cordero, M. Fernández and A. Gray, Symplectic manifolds with no Kähler structure, Topology 25 (1986), no. 3, 375-380. 1

[11] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, and D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), no. 3, 245-274. 2, 16, 17

[12] A. Dimca, Sheaves in Topology, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. 10, 18, 19

[13] M. Fernández, M. de León and M. Saralegui, A six-dimensional compact symplectic solvmanifold without Kähler structures, Osaka J. Math. 33 (1996), no. 1, 19-35. 1

[14] M. Fernández and V. Muñoz, Formality of Donaldson submanifolds, Math. Z. 250 (2005), no. 1, 149-175. 16, 17

[15] M. Fernández and V. Muñoz, An 8-dimensional nonformal, simply connected, symplectic manifold, Ann. of Math. 167 (2008), no. 3, 1045-1054. 1

[16] J. Fine and D. Panov, Symplectic Calabi-Yau manifolds, minimal surfaces and the hyperbolic geometry of the conifold, J. Differential Geom. 82 (2009), no. 1, 155-205. 1

[17] J. Fine and D. Panov, Hyperbolic geometry and non-Kähler manifolds with trivial canonical bundle, Geom. Topol. 14 (2010), no. 3, 1723-1763. 1

[18] R. Friedman, On threefolds with trivial canonical bundle, Complex Geometry and Lie Theory (Sundance, UT, 1989), 103-134, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991. 1

[19] E. Goldstein and S. Prokushkin, Geometric model for complex non-Kähler manifolds with SU(3) structure, Comm. Math. Phys. 251 (2004), no. 1, 65-78. 1

[20] R. Gompf, A new construction of symplectic manifolds, Ann. of Math. 142 (1995), no. 3, 527-595. 1

[21] G. Grantcharov, Geometry of compact complex homogeneous spaces with vanishing first Chern class, Adv. Math. 226 (2011), no. 4, 3136-3159. 1

[22] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1994. 2

[23] D. Guan, Examples of compact holomorphic symplectic manifolds which are not Kählerian. II, Invent. Math. 121 (1995), no. 1, 135-145. 1

[24] D. Guan, Examples of compact holomorphic symplectic manifolds which are not Kählerian. III, Internat. J. Math. 6 (1995), no. 5, 709-718. 1

[25] J. Gutowski, S. Ivanov, and G. Papadopoulos, Deformations of generalized calibrations and compact non-Kähler manifolds with vanishing first Chern class, Asian J. Math. 7 (2003), no. 1, 39-79. 1

[26] S. Halperin, Lectures on minimal models, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.), No. 9-10 (1983). 16, 17
[27] P. Lu and G. Tian, The complex structures on connected sums of $S^3 \times S^3$, Manifolds and Geometry (Pisa, 1993), 284-293, Sympos. Math., XXXVI, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996. 1
[28] D. McDuff, Examples of simply-connected symplectic non-Kählerian manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), no. 1, 267-277. 1
[29] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, Characteristic Classes, Princeton University Press, 1974. 6
[30] J. Morrow and K. Kodaira, Complex Manifolds, Reprint of the 1971 edition with errata, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006. 1
[31] S. Papadima, A. Suciu, Geometric and algebraic aspects of 1-formality. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.) 52(100) (2009), no. 3, 355-375. 19, 21
[32] S. Papadima, A. Suciu, Bieri-Neumann-Strebel-Renz invariants and homology jumping loci, Proc. London Math. Soc. 100 (2010), no. 3, 795-834. 19
[33] J. Park, Non-complex symplectic 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$, Bull. London Math. Soc. 36 (2004), no. 2, 231-240. 1
[34] I. Smith, R. Thomas, and S. Yau, Symplectic conifold transitions, J. Differential Geom. 62 (2002), no. 2, 209-242. 1
[35] W. Thurston, Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), no. 2, 467-468. 1, 3
[36] R. Torres and J. Yazinski, Geography of symplectic 4- and 6-manifolds, Topology Proc. 46 (2015), 87-115. 1
[37] A. Tralle and J. Oprea, Symplectic Manifolds with no Kähler Structure, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1661, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. 1
[38] L. Tseng and S. Yau, Non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds, String-Math 2011, 241-254, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 85, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012. 1
[39] C. Voisin, Hodge structures on cohomology algebras and geometry. Math. Ann. 341 (2008), no. 1, 39-69. 21
[40] B. Wang, Torsion points on the cohomology jump loci of compact Kähler manifolds, to appear in Math. Res. Lett., arXiv:1312.6619. 3, 18, 19
[41] G. Whitehead, Elements of homotopy theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 61, Springer-Verlag, 1978. 10

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, 22 Hankou Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210093, P.R.China
E-mail address: qinlz@nju.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA
E-mail address: bwang274@wisc.edu